

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY NATIONAL FOREIGN ASSESSMENT CENTER

27 March 1980

Mexico: New Activism in Central A	merica
Anticipating further moves to the le has embarked on a new, more active region political and economic initiatives—desig the Lopez Portillo government. Although Nicaragua, El Salvador, and Guatemala appized, they may be part of a broader, evol Caribbean Basin.*	al policyunderscored by med to enhance the influence of initial efforts focusing on mean to have been poorly organ-
President Lopez Portillo is the driv initiatives. With two and one half years President is no longer so preoccupied wit intent on enhancing his own image in the growing oil wealthand the leverage it pefforts.	remaining in his term, the h domestic problems and is foreign policy sphere. Mexico's
This memorandum was prepared by Division of the Office of Political Analy Assistant Secretary of State for Inter-Am this memorandum includes all reports rece coordinated with the Clandestine Service. addressed to Chief, Latin America Division	erican Affairs. Information in ived through 26 March. It was <u>Questions an</u> d comments may be
	PA-M-80-10149
	25X
	257

Mexican motives are guided by traditional foreign policy tenets—such as independence from the United States and support for revolutionary change in Latin America—as well as a perceived need to coopt the demonstic left. Mexican leaders also are worried about growing Cuban—and ultimately Soviet—influence along their country's southern border. This concern, however, does not portend greater cooperation with the United States. Indeed, Mexico's fundamental desire to chart its own course, its narrower perception of the Cuban/Soviet threat, and its long-standing willingness to curry favor with leftist movements implies widening policy differences with the US.

Mexican policy toward the region now primarily involves efforts to provide economic and political support to the Sandinistas in Nicaragua, to maintain a cool posture toward the governing junta in El Salvador, and to influence the rightwing Guatemalan regime to broaden its base of support. /At Lopez Portillo's direction, the PRI has formed the Conference of Latin American Political Parties--headed by PRI President Gustavo Carvajal -- to cultivate progressive groups in the region. Attitude and Initiatives Lopez Portillo publicly committed himself to an expanded Mexican role in Central America in a speech delivered in Managua on 24 January. The Nicaraguans, he explained, could be the "protagonists" of a new Latin American future-a "third turning point" -- that avoids past shortcomings of both the Mexican and Cuban revolutions. He encouraged the Sandinistas to "invent their own revolution" and to keep it free of internal and external "demons of exploitation and ignorance." During a later press conference, Lopez Portillo noted that Nicaragua offers a revolutionary alternative for Latin America -- a fundamental reason for Mexican support.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

SECRET

Lopez Portillo's determination to gain influence in Managua is underscored by his assertion that Mexican aid to the Sandinistas will be "unconditional"—not tied to ideolog-
Managua is underscored by his assertion that Mexican aid to the Sandinistas will be "unconditional"not tied to ideolog-
Managua is underscored by his assertion that Mexican aid to the Sandinistas will be "unconditional"not tied to ideolog-
Managua is underscored by his assertion that Mexican aid to the Sandinistas will be "unconditional"not tied to ideolog-
Managua is underscored by his assertion that Mexican aid to the Sandinistas will be "unconditional"not tied to ideolog-
Managua is underscored by his assertion that Mexican aid to the Sandinistas will be "unconditional"not tied to ideolog-
Managua is underscored by his assertion that Mexican aid to the Sandinistas will be "unconditional"not tied to ideolog-
Managua is underscored by his assertion that Mexican aid to the Sandinistas will be "unconditional"not tied to ideolog-
ical or political considerations. Mexico has promised to supply about half of Managua's petroleum needs7,500 barrels of oil dailyreportedly at reduced prices starting in April. Mexico has sent technicians to help in energy, fishing, education, mining, telecommunications, and public administration fields. In addition it has signed several agreements to provide technical, cultural, agricultural, and scientific assistance. 25X Believing that the radical left will continue to gain
strength in El Salvador, Mexico will maintain contact with
25X
We have no evidence of concrete Mexican aid to
Salvadoran radicals, but they have access to Mexican media and are permitted to demonstrate in Mexico City. PRI
President Carvajal has denounced the repression by security
forces in El Salvador, and Lopez Portillo's recent description of Central American governments as "repressive regimes"
is another step in distancing himself from the junta. 25X

In Guatemala, Lopez Portillo is pursuing a two-pronged strategy designed to pressure the Lucas regime to undertake liberal reforms and to control both leftist and rightist terrorism. He has delayed his planned visit there and is

holding in abeyance promised economic aid, including deliveries of oil under favorable credit terms. Nonetheless, Mexico does not want to alienate the Guatemalan leaders and will attempt to avoid pushing the Lucas regime further into a siege mentality. Senior Mexican military officers reportedly are becoming increasingly concerned that Guatemalan guerrillas could pose an internal security threat to Mexico's southern states, the scene of considerable social and economic instability. (S NF NC)

Motives

Mexico has several motives for expanding its ties with the region's emerging revolutionary forces:

Necessity for Revolutionary Change. For decades,
Mexican governments have supported in principle
the need for revolutionary change in Latin America
The Mexicans believe that historical forces make
inevitable sweeping socioeconomic restructuring in
the northern Central American countries. Lopez
Portillo and other leaders believe, therefore,
that Mexico must move into closer association with
progressive and revolutionary groups.

25X1

--Independence of the US. A hallmark of Mexican foreign policy is the desire to project an image at home and abroad of a progressive, sovereign state, free of US control, and able to plot an independent course in global and regional affairs. By pursuing policies in Central America that diverge from or even conflict with those of the US, Mexican nationalists can conspicuously assert their autonomy in foreign affairs.

25X1

--Domestic Political. The assuaging, if not disarming, of the Mexican left is an important element in the Mexican political process--an important reason for the break in relations with Somoza's Nicaragua.

25X1

--Alternatives to Cuban Influence. Mexican and Cuban views on the future political development of the region are in some ways compatible, and therefore, a dilemma for Lopez Portillo. Although Mexico would like to see progressive governments emerge, it does not want them to be aligned exlusively with Havana or to emulate Cuban political and economic models. The Mexican President wants to offer leftist revolutionaries an alternative to Cuban and Soviet support, but he realizes the direct competition with the Castro regime may damage a relationship that serves Mexico's international and domestic needs.

25X1

Mexican views of Cuban support for leftist insurgents in Central America differ dramatically from Washington's, and Mexico is therefore unlikely to be swayed by arguments that Cuba is a destabilizing presence in the region.

25X1

Despite this seeming tolerance for Cuba's role in the area, many Mexican leaders--particularly those in the security forces--are concerned about the potential emergence of Marxist, pro-Cuban governments on Mexico's southern flank. This area is poorly defended, and, because of long-standing socioeconomic problems, would be vulnerable to infiltration by radical leftists.

25X1

Mexico and the US

Mexico's views are more narrowly focused and are based on different concerns than those of the US; therefore, considerable potential for bilateral discord exists—particularly if radical influence continues to increase in Nicaragua and El Salvador. On the other hand, Mexico's policy toward Guatemala—tempered by geographical proximity and security concerns—is more compatible with Washington's. Although Mexico privately expresses distaste for the rightwing military government, it does not want leftist forces to gain control there. A serious radical threat to the Lucas administration would prompt Mexico to reexamine its Gautemalan policies.

25X1